

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

12 FEB 2007

BAKER BOTTS LLP 30 Rockefeller Plaza 44th Floor New York, NY 10112-4498

In re Application of DRESCHER et al

Application No.: 10/511,575 PCT No.: PCT/DE2003/001540 Int. Filing Date: 13 May 2003

Priority Date: 14 May 2002

Attorney Docket No.: A36393 PCT USA For: METHOD AND ARRANGEMENT FOR

POWER EFFICIENT CONTROL OF

**PROCESSORS** 

**DECISION** 

This is a decision on the documents filed 04 January 2007 which are treated as a petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment. No fee is required.

## **BACKGROUND**

On 30 March 2005, a "Notification of Missing Requirements . . ." (Form PCT/DO/EO/905) was mailed indicating that an executed declaration and surcharge fee was required. Applicants were given two months to respond with extensions available.

On 11 April 2005, a response including a declaration and surcharge fee was purportedly filed in the subject application. These papers were not located in the file.

On 04 January 2007, applicant submitted the subject petition along with a copy of an executed declaration and the date-stamped postcard receipt for the documents filed 18 January 2006.

## **DISCUSSION**

The time period to respond to the Form PCT/DO/EO/905 mailed 30 March 2005 has expired. There is no record that a response has been provided.

Therefore, the subject application is hereby **ABANDONED**.

Applicants claim that a response to the Form PCT/DO/EO/905 mailed 30 March 2005 was filed on 11 April 2005. MPEP § 503 lists procedures to ensure receipt of any paper filed in the USPTO. Applicants must enclose a self-addressed postcard identifying all of the papers filed. The USPTO will stamp the receipt date on the card

and place it on the outgoing mail. The identifying data on the card must clearly identify the paper for which receipt is requested. A postcard receipt which itemizes and properly identifies the papers which are being filed serves as prima facie evidence of receipt in the USPTO of all the items listed thereon on the date stamped thereon by the USPTO.

Applicants provided a copy of the date-stamped postcard receipt for documents submitted on 11 April 2005. The postcard receipt identified the application by the applicant, U.S. application number, and docket number. The postcard receipt indicates that a "Declaration" was among the papers received in the USPTO. The postcard receipt is stamped "OIPE APR 11 2005" across its face.

Accordingly, applicants have provided *prima facie* evidence that an executed declaration and check for \$130.00 was filed on 11 April 2005.

## CONCLUSION

In view of the above, applicants' petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment is hereby **GRANTED**.

A review of financial records shows that the \$130.00 check for the surcharge fee has not been cashed. Accordingly, this fee will be charged to Deposit Account No. 02-4377 as authorized.

Applicants have completed the requirements for acceptance under 35 U.S.C. 371(c). The application has an international filing date of 13 May 2003 under 35 U.S.C. 363 and a 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(1), (c)(2) and (c)(4) date of 11 April 2005.

This application is being forwarded to the National Stage Processing Division of the Office of PCT Operations for continued processing.

James Thomson

Attorney Advisor

Office of PCT Legal Administration

Tel.: (571) 272-3302